

The entropic prior for distributions with positive and negative values

M.P. Hobson and A.N. Lasenby

Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory, Cavendish Laboratory, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HE

Accepted 1998 April 1. Received 1998 March 30; in original form 1997 December 22

ABSTRACT

The maximum entropy method has been used to reconstruct images in a wide range of astronomical fields, but in its traditional form it is restricted to the reconstruction of strictly positive distributions. We present an extension of the standard method to include distributions that can take both positive and negative values. The method may therefore be applied to a much wider range of astronomical reconstruction problems. In particular, we derive the form of the entropy for positive/negative distributions and use direct counting arguments to find the form of the entropic prior. We also derive the measure on the space of positive/negative distributions, which allows the definition of probability integrals and hence the proper quantification of errors.

Key words: methods: data analysis – methods: statistical – techniques: image processing

1 INTRODUCTION

The maximum entropy method (MEM) has been applied to numerous image reconstruction problems in astronomy and various other fields (for reviews see Gull & Skilling (1984), Titterton (1985) and Narayan & Nityananda (1986)). In its standard form, however, it is only applicable to distributions that are strictly positive. For most problems in astronomy, one is concerned with the reconstruction of the intensity of an image from some convolved and noisy data, and so the restriction of positivity is not a problem. Nevertheless, more recently, the astronomical community has become interested in the use of Bayesian methods to reconstruct images of the temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background. In order to avoid the introduction of any bias into the reconstruction of such an image, it is desirable to reconstruct the temperature fluctuations about some mean level, rather than introducing some arbitrary offset to ensure the positivity. Clearly such a problem requires the reconstruction to take both positive and negative values about the mean level, and this has led to the consideration of how to apply MEM to such problems (Maisinger, Hobson & Lasenby 1997; Hobson et al. 1997; Jones et al. 1997).

The theoretical foundations of MEM, when applied to strictly positive distributions, are discussed in some detail by Skilling (1988, 1989). From very general notions of subset independence, coordinate independence, system independence and scaling, Skilling (1988) shows that the assignment of a positive distribution $f(x)$, given some definitive but incomplete constraints on it, must be made by maximising over f (subject to the imposed constraints) the entropy functional $S[f, m]$ given by

$$S[f, m] = \int f(x) - m(x) - f(x) \ln \left[\frac{f(x)}{m(x)} \right] dx. \quad (1)$$

In this expression $m(x)$ is the Lebesgue measure associated with x , which must be given before the integral can be defined. In prac-

tice, however, $m(x)$ is more usually interpreted as the *model* to which $f(x)$ defaults in the absence of any constraints. Indeed it is easily shown that $S[f, m]$ possesses a single global maximum at $f(x) = m(x)$. It is also common in practice that the distribution $f(x)$ is digitised on to N equivalent cells to form the vector $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)$ and a similar digitisation is also performed for the model $m(x)$. In this case, the integral in (1) is simply replaced by a sum over the cells, so that

$$S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[f_i - m_i - f_i \ln \left(\frac{f_i}{m_i} \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

The arguments leading to (2) do not, however, address the reliability of this assignment of the distribution $\mathbf{f}$. In other words, we are not able to quantify how much better is this assignment of $\mathbf{f}$ as compared to any other distribution permitted by the imposed constraints. Moreover, experimental data are usually noisy and so do not constitute testable constraints on the distribution $\mathbf{f}$, but instead define a likelihood function $\Pr(\text{data}|\mathbf{f})$. Thus, in order to apply a proper Bayesian analysis, it is necessary to derive the full entropic prior probability distribution $\Pr(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{m})$. Following Skilling (1989), this leads us to consider probability integrals over domains V in the space of distributions. Assuming $\mathbf{f}$ to be digitised on to N cells, as described above, we write

$$\Pr(\mathbf{f} \in V|\mathbf{m}) = \int_V d^N \mathbf{f} M(\mathbf{f}) \Pr(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{m}),$$

where $M(\mathbf{f})$ is the measure on the space of positive distributions. In order that we identify the most probable distribution with that assigned by maximising the entropy, we must have

$$\Pr(\mathbf{f} \in V|\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{Z_S(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \int_V d^N \mathbf{f} M(\mathbf{f}) \Phi(\alpha S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]), \quad (3)$$

where Φ is a monotonic function of the dimensionless argument $\alpha S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]$. Since $S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]$ has dimensions of integrated $\mathbf{f}$, then α is also

dimensional and is not an absolute constant. The factor $Z_S(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$ is required to ensure that $\Pr(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{m})$ is properly normalised and is given by

$$Z_S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) = \int_{\infty} d^N \mathbf{f} M(\mathbf{f}) \Phi(\alpha S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]).$$

In order to find the function Φ it is necessary to consider a specific distribution $\mathbf{f}$ that obeys the general notions of subset independence etc. mentioned above, but for which the integral $\Pr(\mathbf{f} \in V|\mathbf{m})$ can be calculated directly. The traditional example used (Frieden 1972, Gull & Daniell 1979, Jaynes 1986) is that of a distribution $\mathbf{f}$ created by a ‘team of monkeys’ throwing balls randomly at the cells $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. As shown by Skilling (1989), this leads to the identifications

$$\Phi(\alpha S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]) = \exp(\alpha S[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}]),$$

$$Z_S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) = (2\pi/\alpha)^{N/2},$$

as well as yielding an expression for the measure on the space of positive distributions which is given by

$$M(\mathbf{f}) = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i^{-1/2},$$

A knowledge of the measure is important, since it enables us to define probability integrals over domains in the space of distributions.

In this paper, we discuss the extension of MEM to distributions that can take both positive and negative values. The resulting formalism is clearly applicable to the reconstruction of temperature fluctuations in the microwave background, as discussed above, but may also be applied to a much wider range of image reconstruction problems. In particular, we present derivations of the general form of the entropy for positive/negative distributions and calculate the corresponding entropic prior probability distribution.

2 THE ENTROPY OF POSITIVE/NEGATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS

It is clear from the logarithmic term in the entropy functional (2) that negative values are not permitted in the (digitised) distribution $\mathbf{f}$. Nevertheless, the problem of assigning distributions that can take both positive and negative values has been briefly considered by Gull & Skilling (1990) for certain special cases. In this section, we extend their method to find the form of the entropy for general positive/negative distributions.

The central idea is to express the general (positive/negative) distribution $\mathbf{h}$ as the difference of two strictly positive distributions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}.$$

If $\mathbf{m}_f$ and $\mathbf{m}_g$ are separate models for $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ respectively, then the total entropy can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ f_i - (m_f)_i - f_i \ln \left[\frac{f_i}{(m_f)_i} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ g_i - (m_g)_i - g_i \ln \left[\frac{g_i}{(m_g)_i} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Our aim is to derive a form for $S[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g]$ in which only $\mathbf{h}$ appears, rather than the two positive distributions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$. We cannot, however, hope to replace the models $\mathbf{m}_f$ and $\mathbf{m}_g$ by a single positive/negative model $\mathbf{m}_h$ (say), since such a distribution could no longer be considered as an integration measure. Nevertheless, we

can still consider the difference $\mathbf{m}_f - \mathbf{m}_g$ as the model for the distribution $\mathbf{h}$.

We may remove the explicit dependence of $S[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g]$ on the positive distributions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ by applying continuity constraints on the entropy functional. Since $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial f_i} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial S}{\partial h_k} \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial f_i} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial h_i} \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial g_i} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial S}{\partial h_k} \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial g_i} = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial h_i}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the constraint

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial f_i} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial g_i} = 0. \quad (5)$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial f_i} = -\ln \left[\frac{f_i}{(m_f)_i} \right],$$

and a similar expression exists for $\partial S / \partial g_i$. Hence (5) becomes

$$\ln \left[\frac{f_i}{(m_f)_i} \right] + \ln \left[\frac{g_i}{(m_g)_i} \right] = 0,$$

and thus we obtain the constraint $f_i g_i = (m_f)_i (m_g)_i$. Using this constraint to substitute separately for f_i and g_i in the relation $h_i = f_i - g_i$, and remembering that f_i , g_i , $(m_f)_i$ and $(m_g)_i$ are all required to be strictly positive, we obtain

$$f_i = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_i + h_i), \quad (6)$$

$$g_i = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_i - h_i). \quad (7)$$

where $\psi_i = [h_i^2 + 4(m_f)_i(m_g)_i]^{1/2}$. Finally, substituting the relationships (6) and (7) into the expression for the entropy given in (4), after a little algebra we find the form of the entropy for the positive/negative distribution $\mathbf{h}$ is given by

$$S[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \psi_i - (m_f)_i - (m_g)_i - h_i \ln \left[\frac{\psi_i + h_i}{2(m_f)_i} \right] \right\}. \quad (8)$$

3 THE ENTROPIC PRIOR FOR POSITIVE/NEGATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS

As discussed in Section 1, it still remains to quantify the assignment of the positive/negative distribution $\mathbf{h}$, by deriving the entropic prior probability $\Pr(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g)$. We may calculate this prior by again considering the specific case in which $\mathbf{h}$ is created using the traditional team of monkeys, but now throwing *two* types of ‘balls’, for example red ones and blue ones (each of quantum size q) randomly into the cells, with Poisson expectations λ_i and μ_i respectively. The distribution $\mathbf{h}$ is then found by considering the number of red balls r_i minus the number of blue balls b_i in each cell. In particular, we define $f_i = r_i q$ and $g_i = b_i q$, so that $h_i = n_i q$ where $n_i = r_i - b_i$. We also define the models for the positive and negative portions of the distribution $\mathbf{h}$ in a similar way as $(m_f)_i = \lambda_i q$ and $(m_g)_i = \mu_i q$.

We may now consider the space of $\mathbf{h}$ to be constructed from microcells of volume q^N , each one associated with one lattice point of integers $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$, where each integer may be positive or negative (or zero). Since each cell is independent of the others, the probability of obtaining a given set of occupation numbers is given by the product of the probability distributions for the occupation number in each cell separately, i.e.

$$\Pr(\mathbf{n}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Pr(n_i|\lambda_i, \mu_i).$$

We see that in order to proceed further, we must consider the probability distribution of the difference of two independent Poisson variates. This distribution is derived in the Appendix and is

$$\Pr(n_i|\lambda_i, \mu_i) = \exp[-(\lambda_i + \mu_i)] \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)^{n_i/2} I_{n_i}(2\sqrt{\lambda_i\mu_i}),$$

where $I_n(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of order n . Thus, as we let the quantum size $q \rightarrow 0$, the probability that the distribution $\mathbf{h}$ lies in some domain V of distribution space is given by

$$\Pr(\mathbf{h} \in V | \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g) = \int_V \frac{d^N \mathbf{h}}{q^N} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp[-(\lambda_i + \mu_i)] \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)^{n_i/2} I_{n_i}(2\sqrt{\lambda_i\mu_i}). \quad (9)$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, the integers n_i will in general become very large, and so we are led to consider the uniform asymptotic expansion of a modified Bessel functions of large order, which reads (Abramowitz & Stegun 1972)

$$I_n(nx) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi n)^{1/2}} \frac{\exp(n\beta)}{(1+x^2)^{1/4}}, \quad (10)$$

where the variable β is given by

$$\beta = (1+x^2)^{1/2} + \ln \left[\frac{x}{1+(1+x^2)^{1/2}} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Setting $x = 2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}/n$ in (10) and (11) and rearranging, we obtain

$$I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi\phi)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{2(\lambda\mu)^{1/2}}{n+\phi} \right]^n \exp(\phi).$$

where we have defined the quantity $\phi = (n^2 + 4\lambda\mu)^{1/2}$. Substituting this result into (9), we find

$$\Pr(\mathbf{h} \in V | \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g) = \int_V \frac{d^N \mathbf{h}}{\prod_{i=1}^N (2\pi q^2 \phi_i)^{1/2}} \exp[\xi(\phi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})], \quad (12)$$

where the function $\xi(\phi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\phi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^N (\phi_i - \lambda_i - \mu_i) \right] \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)^{n_i/2} \left[\frac{2(\lambda_i\mu_i)^{1/2}}{n_i + \phi_i} \right]^{n_i} \\ &= \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^N (\phi_i - \lambda_i - \mu_i) \right] \exp \left[-\sum_{i=1}^N n_i \ln \left(\frac{\phi_i + n_i}{2\lambda_i} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting together the exponential factors and writing the resulting expression in terms of $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{m}_f$ and $\mathbf{m}_g$, we obtain

$$\xi(\phi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \psi_i - (m_f)_i - (m_g)_i - h_i \ln \left[\frac{\psi_i + h_i}{2(m_f)_i} \right] \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where $\psi_i = \phi_i q = [h_i^2 + 4(m_f)_i(m_g)_i]^{1/2}$, and on the RHS we recognise the entropy functional given in (8). Thus, substituting (13) into (12), we find

$$\Pr(\mathbf{h} \in V | \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g) = \int_V \frac{d^N \mathbf{h}}{\prod_{i=1}^N (2\pi\psi_i)^{1/2}} \frac{\exp(S(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g)/q)}{(2\pi q)^{N/2}}. \quad (14)$$

We may now compare this result with our earlier expression (3). Aside from multiplicative constants which can be defined to be unity, we therefore identify the quantum size q of the ‘balls’ as $q = 1/\alpha$. As in the case of a strictly positive distribution, the monotonic function Φ of the entropy functional is identified as

$$\Phi(\alpha S(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g)) = \exp(\alpha S(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g)),$$

which together with the normalisation constant

$$Z_S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g) = (2\pi/\alpha)^{N/2},$$

defines the entropic prior on the space of positive/negative distributions. Furthermore, from (14), we also identify the measure on the space of positive/negative distributions as

$$M(\mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \psi_i^{-1/2},$$

which enables us to define probability integrals over domains V in this space.

As discussed by Skilling (1989), a natural interpretation of the measure is as the invariant volume $(\det \mathbf{G})^{1/2}$ of a metric $\mathbf{G}$ defined on the space. Thus the natural metric for the space of positive/negative distributions is simply

$$\mathbf{G} = \text{diag}(1/\psi_1, 1/\psi_2, \dots, 1/\psi_N).$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to show from (8) that the elements of the Hessian matrix of the entropy functional are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial h_i \partial h_j} = -\frac{1}{\psi_i} \delta_{ij}$$

so that, as in the case of positive-only distributions, we may write the metric as

$$\mathbf{G} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \nabla_{\mathbf{h}} S(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g).$$

Thus the metric on the space of positive/negative distributions at any given point is equal to minus the Hessian matrix of the entropy functional at that point.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented derivations of the form of the entropy for distributions that can take both positive and negative values. We also use direct counting arguments to calculate the form of the entropic prior for such distributions. This enables the maximum entropy method to be applied directly to the reconstruction of general positive/negative distributions. This method will therefore be of use in a much wider range of astronomical image reconstruction problems. The calculations presented here also yield the form of the measure on the space of positive/negative distributions, which enables the calculation of probability integrals over domains in this space, and therefore the proper quantification of errors on the reconstructed distribution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Steve Gull and John Skilling for some useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Abramowitz M., Stegun I.A., 1972, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, New York.
- Frieden B.R., 1972, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 62, 511
- Gull S.F., Daniell G.J., 1979, in van Schooneveld C., ed., *Image Formation from Coherence Functions in Astronomy*. Riedel, p. 219
- Gull S.F., Skilling J., 1984, *IEE Proc.*, 131(F), 646
- Gull S.F., Skilling J., 1990, *The MEMSYS5 Users' Manual*. Maximum Entropy Data Consultants Ltd, Royston.

- Hobson M.P., Jones A.W., Lasenby A.N., Bouchet F.R., 1997, MNRAS, submitted
- Jaynes E.T., 1986, in Justice J.H., ed., Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods in Applied Statistics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 26
- Jones A.W., Hancock S., Lasenby A.N., Davies R.D., Gutiérrez C.M., Rocha G., Watson R.A., Rebolo R., 1997, MNRAS, submitted
- Maisinger K., Hobson M.P., Lasenby A.N., 1997, MNRAS, 290, 313
- Narayan R., Nityananda R., 1986, ARA&A, 24, 127
- Skilling J., 1988, in Erickson G.J., Smith C.R., eds, Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods in Science and Engineering. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 173
- Skilling J., 1989, in Skilling J., ed., Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 53
- Stuart A., Ord K.J., 1994, Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics (Volume I). Edward Arnold, London
- Titterton D.M., 1985, A&A, 144, 381

APPENDIX A: THE DIFFERENCE OF TWO INDEPENDENT POISSON VARIATES

Let us consider two *independent* random variables X and Y . If X is Poisson distributed with mean λ and Y is Poisson distributed with mean μ then

$$\Pr(X = n) = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \exp(-\lambda), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\Pr(Y = m) = \frac{\mu^m}{m!} \exp(-\mu). \quad (\text{A2})$$

If we now consider the variable $Z = X - Y$, then its probability distribution is given by

$$\Pr(Z = n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X = n+r) \Pr(Y = r) & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X = r) \Pr(Y = |n| + r) & \text{for } n \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Substituting the expressions (A1) and (A2) into (A3), we obtain

$$\Pr(Z = n) = \begin{cases} \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n+r} \mu^r}{(n+r)! r!} & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^r \mu^{|n|+r}}{r! (|n|+r)!} & \text{for } n \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Provided n is a non-negative integer, however, the modified Bessel function of order n is given by

$$I_n(x) = i^{-n} J_n(ix) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x/2)^{n+2r}}{r! (n+r)!},$$

and so we may write the first sum in (A4) as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \mu)^r}{(n+r)! r!} &= \lambda^n I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}) (\lambda \mu)^{-n/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Writing the second sum in (A4) in a similar way, we thus obtain

$$\Pr(Z = n) = \begin{cases} \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}) & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{|n|/2} I_{|n|}(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}) & \text{for } n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

If n is an integer, however, $I_{-n}(x) = I_n(x)$ and so the final expression for the probability distribution of Z is given for all integers n by

$$\Pr(Z = n) = \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}). \quad (\text{A5})$$

The probability distribution for difference of two independent Poisson variates has also been considered by Stuart & Ord (1994), but only for the special case $\lambda = \mu$ and with the result expressed only as an infinite series.

A useful check that (A5) is correctly normalised is provided by considering the generating function for modified Bessel functions, which reads

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n(x) t^n = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} x \left(t + \frac{1}{t} \right) \right].$$

Substituting $t = \sqrt{\lambda/\mu}$ and $x = 2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}$ into this expression, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu}) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} &= \exp \left[\sqrt{\lambda \mu} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}} \right) \right] \\ &= \exp(\lambda + \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, substituting this result into (A5), we find that as required

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr(Z = n) = \exp[-(\lambda + \mu)] \exp(\lambda + \mu) = 1.$$

This paper has been produced using the Royal Astronomical Society/Blackwell Science L^AT_EX style file.